IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

| KAREN BACKUES KEIL, Plaintiff, |) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| v. EDWARD BEARDEN, et al., |) Cause No.: 5:18-CV-06074-BF |
| Defendants. | , |
| LYNNSEY BETZ, |) |
| Plaintiff, |) |
| v. |) Cause No. 5:18-cv-06079-FJG |
| EDWARD BEARDEN, et al., |) |
| Defendants. |) |
| ASHLEY MARIE OLSON ZIESER, |) |
| Plaintiff, |) |
| v. |) Cause No. 5:18-CV-06103-FJG |
| EDWARD BEARDEN, et al., |) |
| Defendants. |) |
| TERI DEAN, |) |
| Plaintiff, |) |
| v. |) Cause No. 5:19-cv-06022-SRB |
| EDWARD BEARDEN, et al., |) |
| Defendants. |) |
| | |

JOINT MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE FOUR CASES FOR PURPOSES OF DISCOVERY ONLY AND FOR EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY COMPLETION DEADLINES

Come now all Parties in the four cases set forth above, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42, and for their Joint Motion to Consolidate Four Cases for Purposes of Discovery Only, state as follows:

1. In the four cases identified herein, the four separate Plaintiffs are women who allege they were sexually assaulted by Defendant Edward Bearden, who at all relevant times was a corrections officer employed by the Chillicothe Correctional Center. All four Plaintiffs were

¹ In <u>Dean v. Bearden, et al.</u>, there are three additional Department of Corrections employees named as Defendants in addition to Edward Bearden.

incarcerated at the Chillicothe Correctional Center while Defendant Bearden was employed there.

- 2. Consolidation is appropriate under F.R.C.P. 42 as all four cases involve common questions of law and fact. The assaults described in Plaintiffs' complaints are all alleged to have occurred at the same location and at the hands of an individual employed by the Missouri Department of Corrections. They share common issues related to the locations of the alleged assaults, the opportunity, the relevant procedures and protocols at the correctional center, and the elements of the claims asserted.
- 3. The parties are about to engage in depositions in these four cases and all four Plaintiffs, who are represented by the same counsel, intend to depose officials who are employed by the Missouri Department of Corrections. These officials are expected to have knowledge of policies and procedures which apply to all four cases, as well as knowledge about the specific and individual allegations by each woman in all four cases.
- 4. All parties believe it would be most efficient if these state employees, some of whom have managerial positions, are deposed only once regarding all four cases to avoid the time and expense of duplicative questioning over four different depositions.
- 5. The Parties consent that if any person is being deposed for fewer than all four cases, Counsel taking that deposition will advise all other Counsel, no later than the serving of the Notice of Deposition, for which cases the person is being deposed.
- 6. The Parties further consent that any remaining written discovery for these four cases can be for one or more cases and said written discovery shall designate for which case or cases the written discovery is intended.

- 7. Judicial convenience and economy may be promoted by the consolidation of the actions. Consolidation will result in a single deposition of each witness and, therefore, a single need, if necessary, for resolution of discovery disputes relative to these witnesses by a single judge. As a result, the prejudice and confusion of multiple inconsistent adjudications can be avoided through consolidation.
- 8. The Parties understand that generally consolidation occurs by having the Court in the oldest case taking jurisdiction over the matters, but the Parties understand the Judges involved in these four cases may confer and determine how best to handle any discovery disputes which may occur.
- 9. The Parties further suggest that the discovery cut-off of June 30, 2020 in <u>Dean v. Bearden</u>, et al. (Cause No. 5:19-cv-06022-SRB) apply to all four cases to allow for the completion of the anticipated depositions. Accordingly, by this motion, the Parties move for extensions of the discovery deadlines in <u>Keil v. Bearden</u>, <u>Betz v. Bearden</u>, and <u>Zieser v. Bearden</u>. The Parties also move for a continuance of the trial setting in <u>Keil v. Bearden</u> for the purposes of completing this necessary discovery.²
- 10. The Parties do not request extension of any expert disclosure deadlines nor do they request consolidation for purposes of trial.

WHEREFORE, all Parties pray that the Court issue an Order consolidating the four cases whose captions appear above for purposes of discovery only, requiring the parties to designate in their notice of deposition or written discovery if such deposition or written discovery is for purposes of all four cases, or which case or case if fewer than all four, extend the discovery

² There is no trial setting at this time in Betz v. Bearden or Zieser v. Bearden.

completion deadline in each case to June 30, 2020, and for such other and further relief as may be appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John Ammann

John Ammann, MO# 34308
St. Louis University Legal Clinic
Brendan D. Roediger, Mo. #60585
Susan McGraugh, Mo. #37430
St. Louis University School of Law
100 North Tucker
St. Louis, MO 63101
Phone: 314-977-2778

Fax: 314-977-1180 john.ammann@slu.edu brendan.roediger@slu.edu susan.mcgraugh@slu.edu Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ Jenifer C. Snow

Jenifer C. Snow, MO # 67345 Ryan J. Gavin, MO #48691 KAMYKOWSKI GAVIN & SMITH 222 S. Central Ave. Suite 1100 St. Louis, MO 63105 Phone: (314) 665-3280

Fax: (314) 762-6721
Jenifer@kgslawfirm.com
ryan@kgslawfirm.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ Nicolas Taulbee

Nicolas Taulbee, MO# 61065 MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 615 E. 13TH ST.

Kansas City, Mo. 64106 Phone: 816-889-5000 Fax: 816-889-5006

Nicolas.Taulbee@ago.mo.gov

Attorney for Defendant Edward Bearden, Elijah Mosier, and Todd Mustain

/s/ Rachel M. Rivers

Rachel M. Rivers, #71420 Assistant Attorney General 615 East 13th Street, Suite 401 Kansas City, Mo. 64106 816-889-5000 fax: 816-889-5006 Russel.Keller@ago.mo.gov Attorney for Kevin L. Reed

AND

/s/ Michael Pritchett Michael Pritchett, #33848 Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 899 Jefferson City, MO 65102 573-751-8864 fax: 573-751-9546 Michael.pritchett@ago.mo.gov Attorney for Anne L. Precythe

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

| I certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion | on to Consolidate ha | as been served upon a | all Counsel via | the |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----|
| ECF filing system this 9th day of January, 2020. | | | | |

/s/ John J. Ammann John J. Ammann